SINGLE BENCH

CF ~~6007~~ 800/ (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE:CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO(S) : 330 OF 200~~6~~7

PETITIONERS :

1. Shekhar Ram Verma
aged 47 yrs.
s/o Shri Kisun Verma
r/o New Vijay Nagar
Avanti Vihar Raipur
Distt: Raipur CG

2. Dasharath Yadav
aged 50 yrs
s/o Shri Rambhao Yadav
r/o Shitlapara, Ramnagar
Bilaspur CG

3. Dasharath Lal Sahu
aged 38 yrs
s/o Shri Makhanlal Sahu
Gram-Chinwari, PS-Kurud
Distt-Dhamtari CG

4. Ishwar Prasad Soni
aged 40 yrs
s/o Shri Chandrika Soni
Gram: Ogan, P.S.-Pallan
Balodabazar : Raipur CG

5. Tulsi Ram Verma
aged 42 yrs
s/o Kanhaiya Lal Verma
Gram-Tawa, Post-Tarra
PS-Dharsiwa, Raipur CG

6. Ganesh Ram Verma
aged 40 yrs, s/o G.R.Varma
Gram : Kheli : PO : Tarra
P.S-Patan, Distt- Durg CG

7. Ambika Prasad Sharma
aged 38 yrs, s/o P.P.Sharma
Aswani Nagar, Raipur CG

8. Phagwa Ram
aged 60 yrs, s/o Shri Bhudu Ram
Gram : Mahmara : Distt-Durg CG

R.R.No. 262/07 S.K.Sinha 9/1/07

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA 15/1/07

RECEIVED COPY 9/1/07 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(2) (5)

- **VERSUS** -

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh Through : The Secretary to the Govt. of CG Department of Home Gaurds : D.K.S.Bhawan : Mantralaya Raipur CG

2. The Inspector General : Home Gaurds Distt- Raipur CG

3. The Superintendent of Police : Distt : Raipur CG

4. The District Commandant Home Guards : Raipur CG

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226~~/227~~ OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(39)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.330 OF 2007

Petitioners : Shekhar Ram Verma and others

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. S.K.Guha, counsel for the petitioners.
Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 22nd Day of January, 2007)

In the present case, the petitioners, working as Home-Guards, were terminated from the service in the year 1983. After a period of 20 years, the petitioners filed a petition claiming for the relief of quashing of the termination order in Writ Petition No.3839 of 2003. This Court, after having considered the case in Writ Petition No.3839 of 2003, granted liberty to the petitioners, at their request, to make a representation for redressal of their grievances before the competent authorities. The competent authorities were also directed to consider their representation in accordance with law. It appears that respondent No.4 – the District Commandant, by order dated 12-5-2006 (Annexure P-1), rejected the representation of the petitioners after considering their cases.

(40)

2. The second petition for the same relief cannot be entertained as a matter of public policy. The adjudication has attained finality in Writ Petition No.3839 of 2003 itself.

3. Thus, this petition is dismissed on the ground of having no merit. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal